[38800/572]

## INITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant:

Stefan KREBS

Serial No.:

10/069,899

Filed:

May 17, 2002

For:

METHOD FOR PRODUCING A SAMPLE OF A TREATMENT

**OUTCOME ON A TEXTILE SPECIMEN** 

Examiner:

Jennifer A. BOYD

Art Unit:

1771

Confirmation No.:

5216

**Mail Stop Amendment** 

**Commissioner for Patents** P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Mail Stop Amendment, Cop for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA

22313-1450 on

Date: October 1

Reg. No. 42,674

omas C. Hughes

#### **TRANSMITTAL**

SIR:

Please find a Response to Restriction Requirement transmitted herewith for filing in the above-identified patent application.

No fee is believed to be required. However, if any fee is required, please use Deposit Account No. 11-0600. A duplicate of this transmittal letter is enclosed for that purpose.

Respectfully submitted

Dated: October 1, 2004

By:

Thomas Hughes Reg. No/42,674

**KENYON & KENYON** 

One Broadway

New York, NY 10004

Telephone: (212) 425-7200 Facsimile: (212) 425-5288 **CUSTOMER NO. 26646** 



PATENT

Docket No.: 38800/572

### IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

APPLICANT

Stefan KREBS

SERIAL NO.

10/069,899

**FILED** 

May 17, 2002

**FOR** 

METHOD OF PRODUCING A SAMPLE OF A

TREATMENT OUTECOME ON A TEXTILE SPECIMEN

**GROUP ART UNIT:** 

1771

**EXAMINER** 

Jennifer Boyd

**Mail Stop Amendment** 

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail with sufficient postage in an envelope addressed to: Mail Stop Amendment, Commissioner for Patents, P. O. Box 1400, Alexandria, VA

22313-1450 on

Date: October 1/4/97 Reg. No. 42,674

Signature:

hornas C. Hughes

# **RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 121**

SIR:

In response to the Office Action dated December 19, 2003 and the Office Action dated September 16, 2004, Applicants submit the following remarks.

#### **REMARKS**

In the Office Action, the Examiner required restriction to one of the following inventions:

Group I

claims 9 to 14; and

Group II

claims 15 to 17.